

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,193	02/23/2007	Takehiro Ohkoshi	2565-0296PUS1	1279
2292 7590 05/21/2009 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAMINER	
			SQUIRES, BRETT S	
FALLS CHUF	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2431	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is splored above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (3S U.S.C, § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maining date of this communication, even if interly filed, may reduce any				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 February 2009</u> .				
2a)☑ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 7 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 				
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Drafteprson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statemont(e) (PTOISE/CE) Paper No(s)Mail Date Pager No(s)Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots/Mail Date. 5) Abilities of Informal Patent Application. 6) Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Art Unit: 2431

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1 recites "an authentication key," on line 3, "an authentication key," on line 5, and "an authentication key," on lines 6-7 it is unclear whether the recited claim limitations are intended to refer to the same authentication key. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1 recites "a new authentication key," on line 4 and "a new authentication key," on line 6 it is unclear whether the recited claim limitations are intended to refer to the same new authentication key. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treatly in the English language.
- Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yeh
 et al. (US 2005/0120203).

Yeh discloses an authenticating device ("Authentication Server" See fig. 1 ref. no. 10) having an authentication processing unit ("Processor" and "Memory" See figs. 2-3 ref. no. 136 and 138) to perform an authentication process with an authenticated device ("Client Device" See fig. 1 ref. no. 20) using an authentication key ("Current Public Key

Art Unit: 2431

of the Client" See paragraph 45), an update key generating unit ("Processor" "Memory" and "Automatic Rekey" See figs. 2-3 ref. nos. 136, 138, 260 and paragraphs 48-51) to generate a new authentication key ("New Public Key" See paragraphs 44-45) when the authenticated device does not hold an authentication key to be used in the authentication process by the authentication processing unit ("If rekeying occurs once week but a client 20 only connects once a month, the key repository 12 may allow the server/authentication server 10 to identify the current key of the client 20 even if it is not the most recent previously used public key of the server/authentication server 10." See paragraph 44), and to generate a new authentication key ("New Public Key" See paragraphs 44-45) for updating an authentication key ("Current Public Key of the Client" See paragraph 45) to be used in the authentication process by the authentication processing unit when the authenticated device holds the authentication key but the authentication process with the authentication device by the authentication processing unit fails ("The client device fails to verify the signed certificate with the current public key and in response to the fail requests an update public key from the authentication server." See paragraphs 11-13 and 44-45), wherein the authentication processing unit performs the authentication process with the authenticated device again using the new authentication key generated by the update key generating unit ("The client the uses the new public key for future authentication of the server, for example, by replacing the current public key of the client with the new, updated, public key." See paragraph 53). Regarding Claim 3:

Art Unit: 2431

Yeh discloses an authenticated device ("Client Device" See fig. 1 ref. no. 20) having a memory unit ("Memory" See figs, 2-3 ref. no. 136 and 138) to store a prescribed algorithm identifier and a prescribed encryption key identifier, an authentication processing unit ("Processor" and "Memory" See figs. 2-3 ref. no. 136 and 138) to perform an authentication process with an authenticating device ("Authentication Server" See fig. 1 ref. no. 10) using an authentication key ("Current Public Key of the Client" See paragraph 45), a transmitting unit ("I/O Data Ports" See fig. 2 ref. no. 146 and paragraph 48) to transmit the prescribed algorithm identifier and the prescribed encryption key identifier stored by the memory unit to the authenticating device when the authenticated device holds the authentication key but the authentication process with the authenticating device by the authentication processing unit fails ("The client automatically updates the public key associated with the server with an updated public key responsive to detecting failure of authentication with the client's current public key." See paragraph 11), a receiving unit ("I/O Data Ports" See fig. 2 ref. no. 146 and paragraph 48) to receive from the authenticating device a new authentication key ("New Public Key" See paragraphs 44-45) based on the prescribed algorithm identifier and the prescribed encryption key identifier transmitted by the transmitting unit, and wherein the authentication processing unit performs the authentication process with the authenticating device again using the new authentication key received by the receiving unit ("The client the uses the new public key for future authentication of the server, for example, by replacing the current public key of the client with the new, updated, public key." See paragraph 53).

Art Unit: 2431

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Yeh
et al. (US 2005/0120203) in view of Edgett et al. (US 2004/0034771).
 Regarding Claim 2:

Yeh discloses the above stated authenticating device ("Authentication Server" See fig. 1 ref. no. 10) having a receiving unit ("I/O Data Ports" See fig. 2 ref. no. 146 and paragraph 48) to receive a prescribed algorithm identifier and a prescribed encryption key identifier from the authenticated device ("The request includes an identification of the current public key." See paragraph 13), a transmitting unit transmit ("I/O Data Ports" See fig. 2 ref. no. 146 and paragraph 48) the new authentication key generated by the update key generating unit to the authenticated device ("The client device receives the updated public key over a connection from the authentication server." See paragraph 12), and wherein the authentication processing unit performs the authentication process with the authenticated device again using the new authentication key transmitted by the transmitting unit ("The client the uses the new public key for future authentication of the server, for example, by replacing the current public key of the client with the new, updated, public key." See paragraph 53).

Art Unit: 2431

Yeh does not disclose the update key generating unit generates the new authentication key based on the prescribed algorithm identifier and the prescribed encryption key identifier received by the receiving unit.

Edgett discloses a system for changing security information in a computer network having an ISP authentication system (See fig. 1 ref. no. 18) that receives a key index for a public/private key pair as well as an algorithm identifier (See paragraph 58) and uses the key index and the algorithm identifier to determine when the validity periods for the public/private key pair and the encryption algorithm have expired (See paragraph 49). The ISP authentication system generates a new public/private key pair and encryption algorithm based on the key index and algorithm identifier identifying an expired public/private key pair and an expired public/private key pair and an expired encryption algorithm (See paragraph 49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the authenticating device disclosed by Yeh to include generating the new authentication key based on a key index and an algorithm identifier such as that taught by Edgett in order to reduce the likelihood that an intended user may be spoofed by replacing algorithms with known weaknesses and the keys that have been generated with the weak algorithms (See Edgett paragraphs 3 and 57).

Regarding Claim 4:

Yeh discloses an authenticated device having a receiving unit that receives prescribed information from the authentication device when the authentication process with the authentication device by the authentication processing unit fails ("The client device receives a signed certificate from the authentication server." See paragraph 11)

Art Unit: 2431

and a transmitting unit that transmits a prescribed encryption key identifier stored by the memory unit when the prescribed information has been received by the receiving unit ("The request for an updated public key includes an identification of a current public of the client device" See paragraph 17).

Yeh does not disclose the transmitting unit transmits a prescribed algorithm identifier stored by the memory unit when the prescribed information has been received by the receiving unit.

Edgett discloses a system for changing security information in a computer network having a networking accessing device (See fig. 1 ref. no. 34) that transmits a key index for a public/private key pair as well as an algorithm identifier (See paragraph 58) to an ISP authentication system (See paragraph 58).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the authenticating device disclosed by Yeh to include transmitting a key index and an algorithm identifier to the authentication server such as that taught by Edgett in order to reduce the likelihood that an intended user may be spoofed by replacing algorithms with known weaknesses and the keys that have been generated with the weak algorithms (See Edgett paragraphs 3 and 57).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6-7 are allowed over the prior art of record. The combination of transmitting steps, receiving steps, generating step, key updating step, and confirmation steps performed by the key update method recited by claims 6-7 are not found in the

Art Unit: 2431

prior art of record. Further, there is insufficient motivation to combine the different steps performed key update method if the different steps were found in separate prior art teachings.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed February 18, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the applicants' argument that Yeh does not teach that when the authenticated device holds the authentication key but the authentication process with the authenticated device by the authentication processing unit fails, the updating key unit generates a new authentication key for updating an authentication key to be used in the authentication process by the authentication processing unit, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner points out that Yeh discloses that the current public key held by the client device is used in the authentication process. When the current public key held by the client device is a previously used public key of the authentication server, the authentication process will fail, and a new public key of the server replaces the previously used public key of the server. The new public key of the server is then used for authentication of the authentication server. See paragraphs 44-45. With respect to the applicants' statement that Yeh merely suggests that when the client 20 does not hold an authentication key, the server 10 accesses a repository 12 of previous keys to sign the updated public key sent to the client 20 with a private key corresponding to the current public key of the client, the examiner points out that the

Art Unit: 2431

current public key of the client is a public key of a public/private key pair generated by the authentication server and is simply a public key held by the client device instead of being a public/private key pair generated by the client device. See paragraph 44.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRETT SQUIRES whose telephone number is (571) 272-8021. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am - 6:00pm Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,193 Page 10

Art Unit: 2431

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BS/

/William R. Korzuch/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2431